

From: Lisa Scott
Sent: 15 July 2024 21:45
To: Gatwick Airport
Subject: Submission of comments

Dear AxE,

I response to question CC.2.1

Many have already requested that scope 3 emissions be considered as ot of this application, and the decision of the Supreme Court judges in the case of Finch vs Surrey County Council further establish the case, in law, that this must happen.

The fundamental point being that any airport expansion will induce flights and therefore actively increase scope 3 emissions.

The proposal to transition over to sustainable aviation fuel would also further increase scope 3 emissions as it will inevitably require more crops to be grown. That in mor fertiliser (highly fossil fuel intensive), deforestation due to an increase crop growing area.

To use someone else's analogy, not considering scope 3 is like saying a chocolate cake is calorie free, so long as you don't eat it.

WE.2.2 the lack of capacity at Horley sewage treatment works has been demonstrated around 9 times this winter alone. With raw sewage spilling out from the site on to a public footpath and recreation area. Thames Water have built a wall, but that doesn't increase treatment capacity and will

Only result is a lake of sewage being on the Thames Water site, it will still overflow.

The current schedule of works is to increase capacity to cope with current flow, but not to address future increases in pressure from the house building that is currently on going, nor any increase in output from the airport. Seeing the dramatic cost saving actions Thames Water are currently undertaking, for example selling off a subsidiary, and admitting they only have funds to cover operations until June 2025, it s ms doubtful that sufficient upgrade to their facilities is likely to be made.

I would call on Gatwick to build a sewage treatment works regardless.

Kind Regards,
Lisa Scott
MVE&E Green Party

Every action, every choice, every decision.